## Spin models on random graphs with controlled topologies beyond degree constraints

ACC Coolen (London) and CJ Pérez-Vicente (Barcelona) (work in progress)



Motivation - processes on random graphs Deformed random graph ensembles Replica analysis Phase diagrams for specific deformations Summary and outlook

#### 1. MOTIVATION

Model processes on complex real graphs by *solvable* processes on suitable *random* graphs

e.g. Ising systems, graph  $\mathbf{c}^{\star} = \{c_{ij}^{\star}\}$   $H = -J \sum_{i < j} c_{ij}^{\star} \sigma_i \sigma_j$   $c_{ij}^{\star} \in \{0, 1\}$ 

#### $\mathbf{level} \ \mathbf{0} \ :$

measure average connectivity  $\langle k \rangle = N^{-1} \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^{\star}$ draw random **c** from Erdös-Rényi ensemble

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} \delta_{c_{ij},1} + \left(1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N}\right) \delta_{c_{ij},0} \right]$$

#### level 1:

measure degrees  $\{k_1^{\star}, \ldots, k_N^{\star}\}, \ k_i^{\star} = \sum_j c_{ij}^{\star}$ draw random **c** from degree-constrained ensemble

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + \left( 1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} \right) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \prod_i \delta_{k_i^\star, \sum_j c_{ij}}$$



Examples:	Erdös-Renyi (level 0)	degree-constrained (level 1)	exact solution
1D Ising model:	$\langle k \rangle = 2$ $T_c/J \approx 1.820$	$p(k) = \delta_{k,2}$ $T_c/J = 0$	$T_c/J = 0$
2D Ising model:	$\langle k \rangle = 4$ $T_c/J \approx 3.915$	$p(k) = \delta_{k,4}$ $T_c/J \approx 2.885$	$T_c/J \approx 2.269$
3D Ising model:	$\langle k \rangle = 6$ $T_c/J \approx 5.944$	$p(k) = \delta_{k,6}$ $T_c/J \approx 4.933$	$T_c/J \approx 4.51?$
small world model: c = 0: c = 1: c = 2:	$ \langle k \rangle = 2 + c $ $ T_c/J \approx 1.820 $ $ T_c/J \approx 2.885 $ $ T_c/J \approx 3.915 $	$p(k \ge 2) = \frac{e^{-c}c^{k-2}}{(k-2)!}$ $T_c/J = 0$ $T_c/J \approx 2.183$ $T_c/J \approx 3.403$	$T_c/J = 0$ $T_c/J \approx 2.269$ $T_c/J \approx 3.466$

\_

models with the same p(k) can behave quite differently ...

Level 2 random graph ensembles?

- $\bullet$  include topological information on  $\mathbf{c}^{\star}$  beyond degrees
- keep model solvable
- additional information must be relevant (phase diagram)

#### Deformed degree-constrained ensembles

## $\text{level } 0: \qquad \text{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} \delta_{c_{ij},1} + (1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N}) \delta_{c_{ij},0} \right]$

level 1:  $\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + \left( 1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} \right) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \prod_i \delta_{k_i^\star, \sum_j c_{ij}}$ 

level 2: 
$$\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j) \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + \left(1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j)\right) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \prod_i \delta_{k_i^\star, \sum_j c_{ij}}$$

with : 
$$Q(k,k') \ge 0 \quad \forall k,k' \qquad \sum_{k,k'\ge 0} p(k)p(k')Q(k,k') =$$

1

Ising models on random graphs

drawn from deformed degree-constrained ensembles

$$H(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = -\sum_{i < j} c_{ij} \sigma_i J_{ij} \sigma_j$$
  
Prob(**c**) =  $\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j) \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + (1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j)) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \cdot \prod_i \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c_{ij}}$ 

characteristics:

- $\{k_1, \ldots, k_N\}$  drawn randomly from p(k)
- $\{J_{ij}\}$  drawn randomly from P(J)
- ensemble parametrized by: p(k) and Q(k, k')
- graphs locally tree-like, e.g.  $\lim_{N\to\infty} p(k,r) = p(k)\delta_{r,0}$  $p(k,r) = N^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{k,\sum_j c_{ij}} \delta_{r,\sum_{jk} c_{ij}c_{jk}c_{ki}}$

These models are *solvable*,

calculate average of free energy per spin over disorder (bonds, graphs) how do phase diagrams depend on p(k) and Q(k, k')?

#### question:

Before we start, should we expect that introducing Q(k, k') can make a serious difference?

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j) \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + (1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j)) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \cdot \prod_i \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c_{ij}}$$

#### answer:

choose arbitrary degree distribution p(k), with  $\langle k \rangle > 0$  and  $\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle^2 > 0$ , compare the following microscopic realizations:

 $\begin{array}{ll} A: & Q(k,k')=1 & \text{standard degree constrained ensemble,} \\ & \text{phase diagram depends on } \langle k^2 \rangle \text{ and } \langle k \rangle \text{ only} \\ B: & Q(k,k')=\gamma \delta_{kk'} & \text{collection of } disconnected \text{ regular graphs,} \\ & \text{one for each degree } k \text{ with } p(k)>0 \\ & \text{transitions : those of regular graph with } k=k^{\star} \\ & k^{\star}: \text{ largest } k \text{ with } p(k)>0 \end{array}$ 

#### 3. EQUILIBRIUM REPLICA ANALYSIS

In a nutshell  $\ldots$ 

exploit  $\overline{\log Z} = \lim_{n \to 0} n^{-1} \log \overline{Z^n}$ , and assume initially that *n* integer

$$\overline{f} = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta N} \overline{\log \sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta H(\sigma)}} = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{\beta n N} \log \overline{\left[\sum_{\sigma} e^{-\beta H(\sigma)}\right]^n}$$
$$= -\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{\beta n N} \log \sum_{\sigma} \dots \sum_{\sigma} \overline{e^{-\beta \sum_{\alpha=1}^n H(\sigma^\alpha)}}$$

- carry out average  $\overline{\cdots}$  over bonds and graphs *first*
- exchange limits  $N \to \infty$  and  $n \to 0$
- steepest descent integration as  $N \to \infty$ , for finite n
- ergodicity ansatz for order parameters of replicated spin system
- take the limit  $n \to 0$
- order parameters: functions (effective & cavity field distributions)
- study bifurcations in order parameter eqns via moment expansions

Stage 1:

order parameter eqns after limit  $N \to \infty$ 

(steepest descent)

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ 

$$\begin{split} F(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) &= \sum_{k'} Q(k,k') \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} D(k',\boldsymbol{\sigma}') \int dJ \ P(J) e^{\beta J \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}'} \\ D(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) &= \frac{p(k)k}{\langle k \rangle} \frac{F^{k-1}(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} F^k(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma}')} \\ \overline{f} &= -\lim_{n \to 0} \frac{1}{\beta n} \sum_k p(k) \log \left[ \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} [F(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma})/F(k)]^k \right] \end{split}$$

Stage 2:

make ergodic ansatz ('replica symmetry')

$$D(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \int dh \ D(k,h) \frac{e^{\beta h \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}}}{[2\cosh(\beta h)]^n} \qquad F(k,\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \int dh \ F(k,h) \ e^{\beta h \sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}}$$
$$D(k,h) = D(h|k)D(k), \ \int dh \ D(h|k) = 1 \qquad F(k,h) = F(h|k)F(k), \ \int dh \ F(h|k) = 1$$

Stage 3: take the limit  $n \to 0$ , eliminate D(k) and D(h|k), leaves closed eqns for F(k) and F(h|k):

$$\begin{split} F(k) &= \langle k \rangle^{-1} \sum_{k'} p(k') k' Q(k,k') F^{-1}(k') \\ F(h|k) &= \sum_{k'} \frac{Q(k,k') p(k') k'}{\langle k \rangle F(k) F(k')} \int dJ \ P(J) \int \prod_{\ell < k} [dh_{\ell} F(h_{\ell}|k')] \\ &\times \delta[h - \beta^{-1} \operatorname{atanh}[ \tanh(\beta J) \tanh(\beta \sum_{\ell < k} h_{\ell})]] \end{split}$$

$$\overline{f}_{\rm RS} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k} p(k) \int_{\ell \le k} [dh_{\ell} F(h_{\ell}|k)] \log \left[ 2 \cosh(\beta \sum_{\ell \le k} h_{\ell}) \right]$$

 $\frac{\text{Stage 4:}}{\text{Physical meaning of replica-symmetric}}$ (RS) order parameters

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n): \qquad P(k, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \overline{\left[\frac{\Sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \dots \boldsymbol{\sigma}_N \,\delta_{k,k_i} \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i e^{-\beta \sum_{\alpha} H(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\alpha})}}{\Sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \dots \boldsymbol{\sigma}_N \, e^{-\beta \sum_{\alpha} H(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\alpha})}}\right]} \\ = p(k) \int dh \ W(h|k) \frac{e^{\beta h \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sigma_\alpha}}{[2 \cosh(\beta h)]^n}$$

degree-conditioned effective field distr:

$$W(h|k) = \int \prod_{\ell \le k} [dh_{\ell} F(h_{\ell}|k)] \, \delta[h - \sum_{\ell \le k} h_{\ell}]$$

 $W(h) = \sum_{k} p(k) W(h|k):$ 

$$m = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\langle \sigma_i \rangle} = \int dh \ W(h) \tanh(\beta h)$$
$$q = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\langle \sigma_i \rangle^2} = \int dh \ W(h) \tanh^2(\beta h)$$
$$\overline{f} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int dh \ W(h) \log[2 \cosh(\beta h)]$$

#### 4. PHASE DIAGRAMS FOR SPECIFIC DEFORMATION FUNCTIONS

choose bond distribution

$$P(J) = \frac{1}{2}(1+\eta)\delta(J-J_0) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)\delta(J+J_0)$$

Procedure:

- always the paramagnetic (P) soln  $F(h|k) = \delta(h)$ , where m = q = 0
- it is the *only* solution for  $T \to \infty$
- assume bifurcations away from F(h|k) = δ(h) are continuous, so expand in moments of F(h|k): assume ∃ε with 0 < |ε| ≪ 1 such that</li>

$$\int dh \ h^{\ell} F(h|k) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\ell})$$

• bifurcating order  $\epsilon$ : state has  $m \neq 0, q > 0 \rightarrow$  ferromagnet (F) bifurcating order  $\epsilon^2$ : state has  $m = 0, q > 0 \rightarrow$  spin-glass (SG)

result of bifurcation analysis:

$$\eta > \tanh(\beta J_0): \qquad \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{F}, \qquad T_{\mathbf{F}}/J_0 = 2/\log\left[\frac{\eta \lambda_{\max}(Q, p) + 1}{\eta \lambda_{\max}(Q, p) - 1}\right]$$
$$\eta < \tanh(\beta J_0): \qquad \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{SG}, \quad T_{\mathbf{SG}}/J_0 = 2/\log\left[\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(Q, p)} + 1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(Q, p)} - 1}\right]$$

$$\lambda(Q, p): \text{ eigenvalues of } M_{kk'} = \frac{Q(k, k')p(k')k'(k'-1)}{\langle k \rangle F(k)F(k')}, \quad k, k' = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
$$F(k) = \langle k \rangle^{-1} \sum_{k'} p(k')k'Q(k, k')F^{-1}(k')$$

notes:

- one expects RSB solutions (broken replica symmetry), but *at or below* the RS critical temperatures
- the  $F \rightarrow SG$  transition is much harder to find analytically, but could be constructed via Parisi-Toulouse hypothesis

#### Choices considered

Type I :	$Q(k,k') = g(k)g(k')/\langle g\rangle^2,$	$g(k) \ge 0 \ \forall k,  \langle g \rangle = \sum_{k} p(k)g(k) > 0$	
Type II :	$Q(k,k') = [g(k) + g(k')]/2\langle g \rangle,$	$g(k) \ge 0 \ \forall k,  \langle g \rangle > 0$	
Type III :	$Q(k,k') = \gamma_0 + \gamma \delta_{kk'},$	$\gamma_0 = 1 - \gamma \sum_k p^2(k),   \gamma  \le [\sum_k p^2(k)]^{-1}$	
no deformation: $Q(k, k') = 1$			

$$\begin{split} \eta > \tanh(\beta J_0) : & \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{F}, \quad T_{\mathbf{F}}/J_0 = 2/\log\left[\frac{\eta[\langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle - 1] + 1}{\eta[\langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle - 1] - 1}\right] \\ \eta < \tanh(\beta J_0) : & \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{SG}, \quad T_{\mathbf{SG}}/J_0 = 2/\log\left[\frac{\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle - 1} + 1}{\sqrt{[\langle k^2 \rangle / \langle k \rangle - 1]} - 1}\right] \end{split}$$

**Type I:**  $Q(k,k') = g(k)g(k')/\langle g \rangle^2$ 

trivial: g(k) drops out of transition lines and order parameter eqns, for any p(k), complete solution identical to that of Q(k, k') = 1...

**Type II:**  $Q(k,k') = [g(k) + g(k')]/2\langle g \rangle$ 

$$\lambda_{\max}(Q,p) = \frac{2y}{\langle k \rangle} \left\{ \langle \frac{k(k-1)g(k)}{[yg(k)+1]^2} \rangle + \sqrt{\langle \frac{k(k-1)}{[yg(k)+1]^2} \rangle} \left\langle \frac{k(k-1)g^2(k)}{[yg(k)+1]^2} \rangle \right\} \right\}$$
  
e solved from 
$$\langle \frac{k}{\langle l \rangle + 1} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle k \rangle$$

y to be

$$\langle \frac{k}{yg(k)+1} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle k \rangle$$

**Type III:**  $Q(k, k') = \gamma_0 + \gamma \delta_{kk'}$ 

 $\lambda_{\max}(Q, p)$ : largest soln of

$$1 = [1 - \gamma \langle p(k) \rangle] \langle \frac{4k(k-1)}{\lambda \langle k \rangle [y + \sqrt{y^2 + 4\gamma p(k)k/\langle k \rangle}]^2 - 4\gamma p(k)k(k-1)} \rangle$$
$$y = \frac{1 - \gamma \langle p(k) \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} \langle \frac{2k}{y + \sqrt{y^2 + 4\gamma p(k)k/\langle k \rangle}} \rangle$$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\gamma_0 \to 0} \lambda_{\max}(Q,p) &= k^\star - 1, \\ k^\star : \text{ largest degree with } p(k) > 0 \end{split}$$

#### Results for ensembles with type II and type III deformations

Example degree distributions:

Poissonnian : 
$$p(k) = c^k e^{-c} / k!$$
  
power law :  $p(k) = (1 - \frac{c \zeta(3+\alpha)}{\zeta(2+\alpha)})\delta_{k0} + (1 - \delta_{k0})\frac{ck^{-3-\alpha}}{\zeta(2+\alpha)}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \zeta(x) &= \sum_{k>0} k^{-x}, \\ \alpha &\in [0,1], \text{ so } \langle k \rangle < \infty \text{ but } \langle k^2 \rangle \to \infty \text{ for } \alpha \downarrow 0 \end{aligned}$ 

notes:

- always  $\langle k \rangle = c$
- $\bullet$  power-law: bifurcation lines type II deformations indep of c
- In practice:  $k \le k_{\text{max}} = 10^8$

# Poissonian p(k) with type II deformations $Q(k,k') = [k^{\ell} + (k')^{\ell}]/2\langle k^{\ell} \rangle$



impact of deformation: small reduction of all critical temperatures





impact of deformation: dramatic reduction of all critical temperatures

### Poissonian p(k) with type III deformations

 $Q(k,k') = \gamma_0 + \gamma \delta_{kk'}$ 



impact of deformation: significant increase in critical temperatures  $T_F \to \infty$  and  $T_F \to \infty$  for  $\gamma \to \langle p \rangle^{-1}$ 

## Poissonian p(k) with type III deformations

 $Q(k,k') = \gamma_0 + \gamma \delta_{kk'}$ 



#### 5. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

- Specifying just the degree distribution p(k) of a connectivity graph for an interacting spin system does not permit reliable predictions on the phase diagram
- Proposed random graph ensembles, characterized by degree distribution p(k) and additional deformation Q(k, k')

$$\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_N} \prod_{i < j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j) \delta_{c_{ij}, 1} + (1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_i, k_j)) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \cdot \prod_i \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c_{ij}} \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c_{ij}} \delta_{k_j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_j, k_j) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \cdot \prod_i \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c_{ij}} \delta_{k_j} \left[ \frac{\langle k \rangle}{N} Q(k_j, k_j) \delta_{c_{ij}, 0} \right] \cdot \prod_i \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c_{ij}} \delta_{k_i, \sum_j c$$

– allow us to differentiate between models with same p(k), but different microscopic realizations of these degree statistics

- spin models with connectivity graphs from these ensembles still solvable
- impact of deformation via Q(k, k') on phase diagram can be non-negligible
- To be done:
  - physical meaning of the F(k), RSB transition lines
  - application: what is optimal Q(k, k') for a given real graph  $\mathbf{c}^*$ ?

Optimal random graph ensemble  $\{p(k), Q(k, k')\}$ to serve as 'solvable proxy' for a given graph  $\mathbf{c}^*$ :

- measure  $k_i^{\star} = \sum_j c_{ij}^{\star}$ , define  $p(k) = N^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{k,k_i^{\star}}$
- maximizing log-likelihood of  $\mathbf{c}^*$  for deformed ensemble: minimize over Q, subject to  $\sum_{kk'} p(k)p(k')Q(k,k') = 1$ , the quantity

$$\Omega[Q] = -\frac{1}{N} \log \operatorname{Prob}(\mathbf{c}^{\star})$$
  
= const +  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} k_{i}^{\star} \log F(k_{i}^{\star}|Q) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j} c_{ij}^{\star} \log Q(k_{i}^{\star}, k_{j}^{\star}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1})$ 

where F(k|Q) is soln of

$$F(k) = \langle k \rangle^{-1} \sum_{k'} p(k') k' Q(k, k') F^{-1}(k')$$